

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,530	01/03/2002	Leslie Gray Graf	4009-63	2054
23117 75	90 04/03/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			LEE, CHI HO A	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		JK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/03/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/019,530	GRAF ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Andrew Lee	2616	
Pariod f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
	• •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE A	MONITHES OF THEFTY (20) DAYS	
WHII - Exte afte - If Ni - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	IICATION. A reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	lanuary 2006.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	١.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.	• •		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	-, -	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	•	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		· · .	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	-	n received in this National Stage	
* •	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	t rangivad	
•	COO the attached detailed Office action for a list	. or the certified copies fit	it received.	
		PI	ANDREW C. LEE RIMARY PATENT EXAMINER	
Attachmer		□	0 (070 440)	
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date) 5) ∐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/019,530

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bjelland et al U.S. Patent Number 6,856,612.

Re Claims 1, 6, fig. 7 teaches a GW 770 coupled to GSM wireless circuit network (first network based on a first technology) and PSTN (a second network based on a second technology, See fig. 4) whereby the GW 770 enables TFO inband capability negotiation on the circuit switched leg with an negotiation clone over the IP leg to enable end-to-end transcoding (in order to establish the format for the passing) of the multimedia information (See col. 6, lines 25-66), wherein the band messages are carried in a channel of PSTN (circuit switched network).

Application/Control Number: 10/019,530

Art Unit: 2616

Re Claims 2, 3, 7, refer to Claim 1.

Re Claims 4, 8, refer to Claim 1, wherein the further teaches the destination GW 780 coupled to another GSM network (a third network based on first technology) for passing of the TFO message, wherein the GW 780 inherently includes an interface (interface means).

Re Claims 5, 11, refer to Claim 1, wherein the TFO message negotiates codec information (compressed audio stream).

Re Claim 9, refer to Claim 6, wherein GSM is an PLMN.

Re Claim 10, refer to Claim 6, wherein IP backbones supports plurality of service providers (a plurality of telecommunication networks).

Re Claim 12, refer to Claim 6, wherein the first network is a multimedia network.

Re Claim 13, refer to Claim 6, See fig. 4 teaches the GSM wireless network coupled to the PSTN 180 (the second network) that supports SS7 based network.

Re Claims 14, 22, 23, fig. 5 teaches H.323/SIP mobile 340 (a first node) in a GSM network 300 (a first multimedia network) and PC telephone 350 (a second node) in PSTN (a second multimedia network) wherein the networks are separated by an IP backbone 320 (telecommunication transit network) wherein the ISP POP includes GW router interface receives TFO inband capability negotiation message (a control message) from 300 and perform mapping of the message to the transmitted over the IP leg 320 to enable end-to-end transcoding (in order to establish the format for the passing) of the multimedia information (See col. 6, lines 25-66).

Re Claim 15, refer to Claim 14, the IP backbone supports SS7.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,530

Art Unit: 2616

Re Claim 16, refer to Claim 14, wherein the IP backbone is not tied to underlying user plane transport.

Re Claims 17-19, refer to Claim 15, fig. 5 supports GSM TFO inband signaling. Re Claims 20, 21, refer to Claim 14.

Re Claims 24-27, refer to Claim 1, wherein the PSTN network supports PCM sampling of one or more bits in its traffic channel (bearer channel or channel).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 1/26/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re Claims 1, 6, 14, and 22, "in band message" is carried over a channel of an circuit switched network. Claims 1, 6, 14, and 22 does not limit the "in band message" to be carried over the IP network. The transfer of information between the two circuit networks via the IP network reads on "in band message be carried in a channel of an circuit switched network."

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2616

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AL 3/28/06